Interview Summa	rv
-----------------	----

,

Application No. 09/286,037 Applicant(s)

Spendler et al.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Leslie Wong		interview Summary	Leslie Wong	Group Art Unit 1761	
Date of Interview	All par	ticipants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):		
Date of Interview	(1) <u>Les</u>	slie Wong	(3)		
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant processory). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: that cried. Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the applicability of the applied art. Applicant argues that the claimed invention improves the softness of the bread during the initial period after baking [particularly the first 24 hours]. Applicant will consider amending the claims to include the limitation as to improving the softness by using language as provided in the specification [page 3, lines 20-24]. If appears that pending an updated search the amended claims will be allowed. If appears that pending an updated search the amended claims will be allowed. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SEM MORTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements box 1 above is also checked.	(2) <i>Jas</i>				•
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Nes No. If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached. was not reached.	Date o	f Interview Feb 14, 2001	_		
Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: that crited. Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the applicability of the applied art. Applicant argues that the claimed invention improves the softness of the bread during the initial period after baking (particularly the first 24 hours). Applicant will consider amending the claims to include the limitation as to improving the softness by using language as provided in the specification (page 3, lines 20-24). If appears that pending an updated search the amended claims will be allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless boy, I above is also checked.	Type:	☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to	applicant 🛛 applicant's rep	resentative).	
Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: that cited. Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the applicability of the applied art. Applicant argues that the claimed invention improves the softness of the bread during the initial period after baking (particularly the first 24 hours). Applicant will consider amending the claims to include the limitation as to improving the softness by using language as provided in the specification (page 3, lines 20-24). It appears that pending an updated search the amended claims will be allowed. It appears that pending an updated search the amended claims will be allowed. It is not necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above lincluding any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box, 1 above is also checked.	Exhibit	shown or demonstration conducted:	X No. If yes, brief description:		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the applicability of the applied art. Applicant argues that the claimed invention improves the softness of the bread during the initial period after baking (particularly the first 24 hours). Applicant will consider amending the claims to include the limitation as to improving the softness by using language as provided in the specification (page 3, lines 20-24). It appears that pending an updated search the amended claims will be allowed. It is not necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APILCANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.					S. S
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the applicability of the applied art. Applicant argues that the claimed invention improves the softness of the bread during the initial period after baking (particularly the first 24 hours). Applicant will consider amending the claims to include the limitation as to improving the softness by using language as provided in the specification (page 3, lines 20-24). It appears that pending an updated search the amended claims will be allowed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.		tod			: :
the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	20-24)	-		ecification (page	3, lines
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	the cla	ims allowable must be attached. Also, where no cable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	opy of the amendents which wou	ıld render the cla	would render iims allowable
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.					
each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	LAST (Section	DFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL 1 713.04). If a response to the last Office action ha	UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IT as already been filed, APPLICANT	NTERVIEW. (See	e MPEP
JUSTIC MONG	· 2. 📋	each of the objections, rejections and requirement claims are now allowable, this completed form is Office action. Applicant is not relieved from prov	ts that may be present in the last considered to fulfill the response	Office action, ar requirements of	nd since the the last
				() () () () () () () () () ()	SULUM (

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.